

Karadeniz Fen Bilimleri Dergisi The Black Sea Journal of Sciences ISSN (Online): 2564-7377 <u>https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/kfbd</u>



Araştırma Makalesi / Research Article

# **Environmental Gamma Dose Measurement in Arin Lake (Bitlis)**

Şule KARATEPE ÇELİK<sup>1\*</sup>, Sultan ŞAHİN BAL<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

Arin Lake is a stopover for many migratory bird species, mostly flamingos and songbirds. The assignment of gamma dose rate is very important for the air quality of an environment and human health. For this purpose, the environmental gamma radiation dose rate in air was measured for 27 different point in Arin Lake area in Bitlis province. Measurements were conducted using a portable gamma survey meter supplied with a NaI(Tl) scintillation detector. Gamma dose values were measured in the range  $0.035 - 0.165 \ \mu$ Sv/h for ground level with mean  $0.117 \ \mu$ Sv/h. Similarly gamma dose values for 1 m above the ground were measured in the range  $0.027 - 0.150 \ \mu$ Sv/h with mean  $0.104 \ \mu$ Sv/h.

Keywords: Environmental Gamma, Effective Dose, Arin Lake

# Bitlis Arin Gölünde Çevresel Gama Doz Ölçümü

# Öz

Arin gölü, çoğunlukla flamingolar ve ötücü kuşlar olmak üzere çok sayıda göçmen kuş türü için göçmen kuşların göç yolunda mola yeridir. Gama doz oranın belirlenmesi, bir ortamın hava kalitesi ve insan sağlığı için çok önemlidir. Bu amaçla, Bitlis ilindeki Arin Gölü bölgesinde 27 farklı nokta için havadaki çevresel gama radyasyon dozu ölçülmüştür. Ölçümler için NaI(TI) sintilasyon dedektörüne sahip taşınabilir gama ölçüm cihazı kullanılmıştır. Gama doz oranı değerleri yer seviyesi için 0,035-0,165 µSv/h aralığında ve ortalama 0,117 µSv/h olarak ölçülmüştür. Benzer şekilde, yerden 1 m yükseklik için gama doz oranı değerleri 0,027-0,150 µSv/h aralığında ve ortalama 0,104 µSv/h olarak ölçülmüştür.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Çevresel Gama, Efektif Doz, Arin Gölü.

<sup>1</sup>Bitlis Eren University, Vocational School of Hizan, Bitlis, Türkiye, skaratepe@©beu.edu.tr <sup>2</sup>Bitlis Eren University Depertmant of Physics, Bitlis, Türkiye, ssahin@beu.edu.tr

<sup>1</sup><u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8125-2231</u>

### **1. Introduction**

People are continuously exposed to cosmic radiation and terrestrial radiation throughout their lifetimes. The geographic characteristics of a location, such as altitude, latitude-longitude coordinates, and the amount of incident solar radiation usually determine the effect of cosmic radiation (UNSCEAR 2010; Karatepe 2019; Pashazadeh 2014). Terrestrial radiation originates from the geological features of the area, encompassing rock formations, soil compositions, water sources, and air (Bal 2015). Terrestrial radiation generally emanates from naturally occurring isotopes such as <sup>238</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K, as well as artificially generated isotopes such as <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>239</sup>Pu, and their respective radioactive decay products (SureshGandhi 2014; Bal 2018).

Numerous studies have been conducted worldwide to investigate exposure to gamma radiation. These investigations have shed light on the effects of radiation on human health and have demonstrated the adverse consequences associated with such effects (Avwiri 2016; Ramola 2011, Amiranashvili 2021; Hazrati 2012).

Lakes are formed through various factors such as tectonic movements, volcanic activities, glacier formation, erosion, and the influence of rivers (Duman 2012). It is essential to determine the environmental radiation due to the water, soil, and rock structure of the lake and its surroundings. Therefore, in this study, environmental gamma dose measurements were conducted in and around Lake Arin, located within the borders of Bitlis province. Based on the obtained results, the annual effective dose rate (AED) and lifetime cancer risk values (ELCR) were determined. Thus, the impact on human health was determined. Additionally, an evaluation was conducted to determine whether the results exceeded the values suggested by the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR), and a comparison was made with results from other studies (UNSCEAR 2010).

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Study Area

Arin Lake, located in Adilcevaz district of Bitlis province, is a soda lake that spans approximately 13.5 km<sup>2</sup> in area. The lake constitutes a stopover site for a multitude of migratory bird species, predominantly including flamingos and songbirds, along their migratory route (Nergis 2017). In addition, during the Ottoman Empire period, the lake was utilized for soda production (Yücel 2020). Map of Arin Lake and locations of samples is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Map of Arin Lake and location of study area.

## 2.2. Dose Rate Measurement

Gama dose values were measured at 27 different locations around Lake Arin. The measurements were taken at ground level and at a height of 1 m above ground, and the average of three measurements performed for each point was calculated. Measurements made using LUDLUM Model 2241 Digital Scaler/Ratemeter and LUDLUM Model 44-10 Prob. Prob is a scintillation detector that consists of 2"x2" NaI (Tl) crystal (Ludlum 2012). The annual effective dose values (AED) are calculated by following equation;

AED (
$$\mu$$
Sv/y) = Dose rate( $\mu$ Sv/h) × 0.2×8760h/y (1)

Here 0.2 is the occupancy factor for outdoor (UNSCEAR 2000). Excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) is determined using by the equation;

$$ELCR = AED \times DL \times RF$$
(2)

Where DL is average lifespan of people (70 years) and RF is a risk factor that is a fatal cancer risk per sievert. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) recommended RF be 0.057 for the people (ICRP 2007)

### **3. Findings and Discussion**

Environmental gamma dose values were determined at 27 points around Arin Lake. Measurements were made on the ground and at a height of 1m from the ground. The gamma dose measurements and frequency distribution of gamma dose are observed in Table 1 and Figure 2 and Figure 3.

| Sample  | Latitude  | Longitude  | Ground  | Above 1m | AED     | ELCR(x10 <sup>-3</sup> ) |
|---------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------------------|
| Number  |           |            | (µSv/h) | (µSv/h)  | (mSv/y) |                          |
| 1       | 37.82500  | 42.993766  | 0.165   | 0.142    | 0.249   | 0.994                    |
| 2       | 38.825284 | 42.992193  | 0.145   | 0.135    | 0.237   | 0.946                    |
| 3       | 38.825237 | 42.990698  | 0.162   | 0.145    | 0.254   | 1.013                    |
| 4       | 38.825122 | 42.989172  | 0.161   | 0.148    | 0.259   | 1.033                    |
| 5       | 38.825079 | 42.987646  | 0.132   | 0.124    | 0.217   | 0.866                    |
| 6       | 38.825362 | 42.986032  | 0.152   | 0.136    | 0.238   | 0.950                    |
| 7       | 38.825703 | 42.984469  | 0.122   | 0.104    | 0.182   | 0.726                    |
| 8       | 38.826147 | 42.982948  | 0.075   | 0.063    | 0.110   | 0.439                    |
| 9       | 38.826565 | 42.981319  | 0.068   | 0.061    | 0.107   | 0.427                    |
| 10      | 38.82782  | 42.979637  | 0.076   | 0.070    | 0.123   | 0.491                    |
| 11      | 38.826428 | 42.977649  | 0.076   | 0.067    | 0.117   | 0.467                    |
| 12      | 38.825552 | 42.9752263 | 0.083   | 0.075    | 0.131   | 0.523                    |
| 13      | 38.82428  | 42.973228  | 0.105   | 0.092    | 0.161   | 0.642                    |
| 14      | 38.822981 | 42.97129   | 0.105   | 0.096    | 0.168   | 0.670                    |
| 15      | 38.82172  | 42.969428  | 0.116   | 0.106    | 0.186   | 0.742                    |
| 16      | 38.821221 | 42.967712  | 0.128   | 0.112    | 0.196   | 0.782                    |
| 17      | 38.82038  | 42.961985  | 0.108   | 0.093    | 0.163   | 0.650                    |
| 18      | 38.818336 | 42.958989  | 0.165   | 0.150    | 0.263   | 1.049                    |
| 19      | 38.815001 | 42.957553  | 0.156   | 0.146    | 0.256   | 1.021                    |
| 20      | 38.811867 | 42.957905  | 0.145   | 0.140    | 0.245   | 0.978                    |
| 21      | 38.804191 | 42.967359  | 0.112   | 0.103    | 0.180   | 0.718                    |
| 22      | 38.801947 | 42.972152  | 0.112   | 0.097    | 0.170   | 0.678                    |
| 23      | 38.798617 | 42.97882   | 0.114   | 0.107    | 0.187   | 0.746                    |
| 24      | 38.79504  | 42.993771  | 0.108   | 0.091    | 0.159   | 0.634                    |
| 25      | 38.796174 | 43.002281  | 0.141   | 0.110    | 0.193   | 0.770                    |
| 26      | 38.801645 | 43.006884  | 0.092   | 0.074    | 0.130   | 0.519                    |
| 27      | 38.820100 | 43.001563  | 0.035   | 0.027    | 0.047   | 0.188                    |
| Min     |           |            | 0.035   | 0.027    | 0.047   | 0.188                    |
| Max     |           |            | 0.165   | 0.150    | 0.263   | 1.049                    |
| Mean    |           |            | 0.117   | 0.104    | 0.183   | 0.728                    |
| UNSCEAR |           |            | 0.041   |          | 0.074   | 0.29                     |

**Table 1.** Environmental gamma dose value and the annual effective dose rate at Arin Lake area.



Figure 2. Frequency distributions of gamma dose rate on the ground.



Figure 3. Frequency distributions of gamma dose rate on 1m above ground.

As seen in Table 1, the gamma dose measurement on the ground, which contains almost all of the gamma radiation emitted from the soil at all measurement points, is greater than the value measured at 1m above the ground. The maximum gamma dose value is  $0.165 \,\mu$ Sv/h and the minimum gamma dose value is  $0.035 \,\mu$ Sv/h at the ground level. The mean gama dose value was determined as

 $0.117 \ \mu$ Sv/h at the ground level. Likewise, the maximum value was found to be  $0.150 \ \mu$ Sv/h, while the minimum value measured at a height of 1 meter from the ground was  $0.027 \ \mu$ Sv/h. The mean dose value was calculated as  $0.104 \ \mu$ Sv/h. These mean values are exceed the world average value of  $0.041 \ \mu$ Sv/h (59 nGy/h) recommended by UNSCEAR (UNSCEAR 2000). But, the value at location 27 is below the world average.

As given in Table 1, it is observed that the annual effective dose (AED) calculated from the gamma dose value measured at a height of one meter from the ground has a minimum of 0.047 mSv/y, a maximum of 0.263 mSv/y, and the mean value of 0.183 mSv/y. In the UNSCEAR (2000) report, the world average value of AED is 0.74 mSv/h (73.6  $\mu$ Sv/h) (UNSCEAR, 2000). In this study, the average value determined for AED is 0.183 mSv/h, which is above the world average value. The frequency distribution of AED values is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Frequency distributions of the annual effective dose (AED)

The highest value calculated for the lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) assessment at a height of 1 m above the ground was  $1.049 \times 10^{-3}$ , and the lowest value was  $0.188 \times 10^{-3}$ . The mean value was calculated as  $0.728 \times 10^{-3}$ . The results obtained for ELCR are presented in Table 1. It was determined that the average values obtained in this study were higher than the world average value  $(0.29 \times 10^{-3})$  (UNSCEAR, 2000).

The results of similar studies in some countries are given in Table 2.

| Area              | Dose Rate     | AED         | ELCR                  | References   |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|
|                   | (µSv/h)       | (mSv/y)     | (x 10 <sup>-3</sup> ) |              |
| Kulakçayırı Lake  | 0.022 - 0.033 | 0.039-0.058 | -                     | Kam 2016     |
| Türkiye           |               |             |                       |              |
| Uburu Salt Lake,  | 0.150         | 0.063       | 1.173                 | Avwiri 2017  |
| Nigeria           |               |             |                       |              |
| Kerala, India     | -             | 1.042       | -                     | Thomas 2022  |
| Kashmir, Pakistan | -             | 0.497       | -                     | Rafique 2013 |
| Dhaka, Bangladesh | 0.187         | 0.328       | 1.3                   | Hossain 2019 |
| Tsugaru, Japan    | 0.020         | 0.24        | -                     | Iyogi 2002   |
| Ardebil, Iran     | 0.110-0.680   | 1.73        | -                     | Hazrati 2012 |
| This study        | 0.117         | 0.183       | 0.728                 |              |
| UNSCEAR           | 0.041         | 0.074       | 0.29                  | UNSCEAR 2000 |

Table 2. Comparison of the results of the gamma radiation from this study with studies in some countries.

As seen in Table 2, the AED value in this study is lower than for other results, except for the values of Kulakcayiri Lake Turkiye and Ubutu Lake Nigeria (Kam 2016; Avwiri 2017). The lowest value, which is even lower than the value recommended by UNSCEAR, is observed in Kulakçayırı Lake, Türkiye. In addition, the highest AED value was obtained in Ardebil Iran (Avwiri 2017).

## 4. Conclusions and Recommendations

The determination and assessment of environmental gamma radiation is important for human health. In pursuit of this objective, environmental gamma dose measurements were conducted in the area of Lake Arin. In this study, according to the results, the mean gamma dose value exceeds the recommended value by UNSCEAR. Similarly, the mean AED value and the mean ELCR value are higher than the world avarage values (UNSCEAR 2000).

Arin Lake and its surrounding area are a migratory route for migratory birds such as flamingos and songbirds. Furthermore, there are three villages located around this lake. Therefore, it is significant that the gamma dose value is higher than the world average. There could be several reasons for this elevation; however, the most crucial factor is believed to be the geological characteristics of Lake Arin, as it is located at the foothills of Mount Süphan, a volcanic mountain.

## Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Bitlis Eren University Scientific Research Project Support Unit. Project number: 2022.18.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

All authors contributed equally to the study.

## **Statement of Conflicts of Interest**

There is no conflict of interest between the authors.

## **Statement of Research and Publication Ethics**

The author declares that this study complies with Research and Publication Ethics.

#### References

- Amiranashvili, A. G. (2021). Environmental Gamma Radiation Distribution in Western Georgia. *Journal of Radiobiology and Radiation Safety* Vol. 1.
- Avwiri, G. O., Nwaka, B. U., & Ononugbo, C. P. (2016). Radiological health risk due to gamma dose rates around Okposi Okwu and Uburu salt lakes, Ebonyi State. *International Journal of Emerging Research* in Management and Technology, 5(9), 36-46.
- Bal, S. S., & Karatepe, S. (2015). Determination of environmental gamma radiation in Bitlis. In EPJ Web of Conferences (Vol. 100, p. 04002). EDP Sciences.
- Bal, S. Ş., Karatepe, Ş., Kuluöztürk, M. F., Yılmaz, E., & Kurşat, M. (2018). The annual change of environmental gamma radiation in Bitlis. Bitlis Eren University Journal of Science and Technology, 8(1), 19-23.
- Duman, N., & Çıçek, İ. (2012). The Geomorphology of Lake Erçek Basın and the formation of the lake. *Journal of International Social Research*, 5(20).
- Hazrati, S., Baghi, A. N., Sadeghi, H., Barak, M., Zivari, S., & Rahimzadeh, S. (2012). Investigation of natural effective gamma dose rates case study: Ardebil Province in Iran. *Iranian journal of environmental health science & engineering*, *9*, 1-6.
- Hossain, S., Rahman, M. S., & Yeasmin, S. (2019). Environmental Radioactivity Monitoring and Assessment of Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk to People in Demra Thana, Dhaka, Bangladesh. ABC Research Alert, 7(3), Bangladesh-Bangladesh.
- ICRP (2007), Recommendations of the ICRP Publication103: Annals of the ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection, 37, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, pp. 2-4.
- Iyogi, T., Ueda, S., Hisamatsu, S. I., Kondo, K., Haruta, H., Katagiri, H., ... & Tsuji, N. (2002). Environmental gamma-ray dose rate in Aomori Prefecture, Japan. *Health Physics*, 82(4), 521-526.
- Kam E., Yümün Z. Ü., Önce M., & Acikgoz, G. (2016). Gamma dose rate values in The Kulakçayiri natural lake and the vicinity (Arnavutköy, Istanbul). *Journal of Engineering Technology and Applied Sciences*, 1(1), 29-33.

- Karatepe, Ş., & Kuluöztürk, M. F. (2019). Determination of environmental radiation in the beach sand of Tatvan, Ahlat and Adilcevaz. *Sakarya University Journal of Science*, 23(6), 1173-1176.
- LUDLUM, (2012). Model 44-10 Gamma Scintillator, Ludlum Measurements, Inc., Texas.
- Ramola, R. C., Choubey, V. M., Prasad, G., Gusain, G. S., Tosheva, Z., & Kies, A. (2011). Radionuclide analysis in the soil of Kumaun Himalaya, India, using gamma ray spectrometry. *Current Science*, 906-914.
- Nergiz, H., & Durmus, A. (2017). Effects of habitat change on breeding waterbirds in arin (sodali) lake,(Turkey). Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 15(3), 1111-1118.
- Pashazadeh Mahmoud, A., Aghajani, M., Nabipour, I., & Assadi, M. (2014). Annual effective dose from environmental gamma radiation in Bushehr city. Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering, 12, 1-4.
- Rafique, M. (2013). Ambient indoor/outdoor gamma radiation dose rates in the city and at high altitudes of Muzaffarabad (Azad Kashmir). Environmental earth sciences, 70(4), 1783-1790.
- Sumi, I. K., Rahman, M. S., Sakib, K. N., Tasnim, M. M., & Yeasmin, S. (2021). Outdoor Environmental Radiation Monitoring and Estimation of Radiation Risk on Public in New Market Thana, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Journal of Scientific Research, 13(3), 879-890.
- SureshGandhi, M., Ravisankar, R., Rajalakshmi, A., Sivakumar, S., Chandrasekaran, A., & Anand, D. P. (2014). Measurements of natural gamma radiation in beach sediments of north east coast of Tamilnadu, India by gamma ray spectrometry with multivariate statistical approach. *Journal of Radiation Research* and Applied Sciences, 7(1), 7-17.
- UNSCEAR (2000). United Nations Scientific Committee on the effect of Atomic Radiation: Exposures from natural radiation sources. Report to General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. United Nations, New York.
- UNSCEAR (2010). Sources and effects of ionizing radiation, annex B: exposure of the public and workers from various sources of radiation. NewYork: United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effect of Atomic Radiations.
- Thomas, J. R., Sreejith, M. V., Aravind, U. K., Sahu, S. K., Shetty, P. G., Swarnakar, M., ... & Aravindakumar, C. T. (2022). Outdoor and indoor natural background gamma radiation across Kerala, India. *Environmental Science: Atmospheres*, 2(1), 65-72.
- Yücel M.B., (2020). Dünyada ve Türkiye'de Trona. Retrieved from <u>https://www.mta.gov.tr/v3.0/sayfalar/bilgi-merkezi/maden-serisi/img/TRONA.pdf</u>.