RESEARCH ARTICLE / ARAȘTIRMA MAKALESİ

Investigation of Nuclear Structures of Self-conjugate Zn, Ge, Se, Kr, Sr Nuclei

Öz-eşlenik Zn, Ge, Se, Kr, Sr Çekirdeklerinin Nükleer Yapılarının İncelenmesi

Serkan AKKOYUN¹, Tuncay BAYRAM²

¹Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Sivas, Turkey ²Karadeniz Technical University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Trabzon, Turkey

Abstract

The nuclear structure of the atomic nuclei can be theoretically investigated by using the nuclear shell model. Generally, a doubly closed-shell nucleus has been considered as inert core and the nucleons outside the core are taken into account in the calculation. It is assumed that the nucleons in the inert core do not move but each valence nucleon out of the core moves under an average potential created by the others. The self-conjugate (N=Z) medium mass nuclei region is one of the regions for the investigation of several phenomena because of the maximum spatial overlap of neutrons and protons. In this study, the structures of the medium mass N=Z nuclei have been analyzed in the scope of the nuclear shell model by using KSHELL computer code. In the calculations, doubly magic ⁵⁶Ni were taken as core, and the $2p_{3/2}$, $1f_{5/2}$ ve $2p_{1/2}$ single-particle orbits were used as valence orbits. Different two-body interactions (*jun45* and *f5pvh*) have been taken into account. The results have been compared with each other and the available values existing in the literature.

Keywords: Nuclear shell model, jun45, f5pvh, N=Z nuclei, energy level, deformation.

Öz

Atom çekirdeklerinin nükleer yapıları, nükleer kabuk modeli kullanılarak teorik olarak incelenebilir. Genel olarak, bir çift-çift kapalı kabuk çekirdeği durağan kor (inert kor) çekirdek olarak kabul edilir ve bunun dışındaki nükleonlar hesaplamalarda dikkate alınır. Kor çekirdekteki nükleonların hareket etmediği, ancak kor dışındaki valans nükleonlarının, diğerleri tarafından yaratılan ortalama bir potansiyel altında hareket ettiği varsayılmaktadır. Öz-eşlenik (N=Z) orta ağırlığa sahip kütleli çekirdeklerin bölgesi, nötronların ve protonların azami uzaysal çakışması nedeniyle, bazı nükleer olguların araştırılması için uygun bölgelerden birisidir. Bu çalışmada, orta ağırlıktaki N=Z çekirdeklerinin nükleer yapı özellikleri, nükleer kabuk modeli kapsamında KSHELL bilgisayar kodu kullanılarak araştırılmıştır. Hesaplamalarda kor çekirdek olarak çift sihirli ⁵⁶Ni ele alınmış ve valans orbitalleri olarak 2 $p_{3/2}$, 1 $f_{5/2}$ ve 2 $p_{1/2}$ tek parçacık seviyeleri kullanılmıştır. Farklı iki cisim etkileşmeleri (*jun45* ve *f5pvh*) ele alınmıştır. Sonuçlar birbirleriyle ve literatürdeki mevcut değerlerle karşılaştırılmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Nükleer kabuk modeli, jun45, f5pvh, N = Z çekirdekler, enerji seviyesi, deformasyon

I. INTRODUCTION

Many nuclear properties of nuclei can be obtained from the mean-field approximation in which protons and neutrons move independently from each other in a common potential. The field is generated as a result of the interaction among nucleons [1-5]. Atomic nuclei at N=Z line at the nuclide chart are very interesting in nuclear structure studies due to protons and neutrons (nucleons) occupy the same orbits. They are unique to study several phenomena such as shape coexistence along the N=Z line and the role of pairing correlation of neutron and proton. Furthermore, these nuclei are in the rp-process pathway [6]. In order to investigate the nuclear structure including N=Z nuclei, the nuclear shell model (SM) is one of the successful models [7-14]. Several phenomena can be predicted by using this model such as the energy of the excited states, their spin/parity, the electromagnetic transition probabilities and electromagnetic moments. In this model, protons and neutrons are filling the single-particle orbits in increasing order of energy, resulting in the concept of shell structure and shell closures.

Complete determination of the nuclear level schemes is an important issue for both experimentalists and theoreticians. In this way, theoretical models can be improved by the comparison of the experimental values thus extending the predictive power of the theoretical approach further away of the stability. In a simplified approach, the nuclear SM can be considered as similar to the electronic shell model of the atoms. Analogously, valence nucleons in the nuclei which are located out of inert core are responsible of the nuclear properties. The nuclei

having the particular numbers of protons and/or neutrons (2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126) are called closedshell nuclei and these nuclei are generally used as an inert cores in the calculations. Closed-shell nuclei are often very stable and have completely different properties compared with their neighbours. It is assumed that the nucleons in the inert core do not move, whereas each valence nucleon out of the core moves under the average potential by the others. Therefore, only valence nucleons are taken into account in the calculations.

For the SM calculations, there are many computer codes exist in the literature such as KSHELL [15], NuShellX [16], REDSTICK [17], BIGSTICK [18], ANTOINE [19] and Oxbash [20]. The investigated self-conjugate Zn, Ge, Se, Kr, Sr nuclei have been studied previously via different theoretical models [21-23]. In this paper, the first 2^+ and 4^+ excited state energies, reduced electric transition probabilities (B(E2) from 0^+ to 2^+ state, quadrupole deformation parameters (β), R_{4/2} ratios of medium mass N=Z nuclei have been calculated and investigated by using KSHELL shell model code [15]. For this aim, shell model calculations with two different two-body interactions (jun45 and f5pvh) have been performed and the results have been compared with each other and the literature data. These effective interactions are appropriate for the investigation of the nuclear properties for the nuclei in the upper pf-shell and N=Z nuclei in this shell [24]. Also, it was stated that the shell model with jun45 describes very well the expected properties of the N=Z nuclei lie in this shell [25]. The KSHELL code enables us to perform nuclear shellmodel calculations with M-scheme representation with the thick-restart Lanczos method. The code is easily used on a Linux PC with a many-core CPU and OpenMP library. Depending on the memory availability of the computer used, M-scheme matrices with dimensions of up to tens of billion elements can be diagonalized. In the calculations of the present study, doubly magic ⁵⁶Ni nuclei were taken as inert core and $2p_{3/2}$, $1f_{5/2}$ ve $2p_{1/2}$ single-particle orbits form the valence orbits. Two different Hamiltonians for twobody interactions have been used. The results have been compared with each other and the available values in the literature.

II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

The model space above the ⁵⁶Ni closed-shell is suitable for the investigation of medium mass N=Z nuclei. The model space used in the calculations consists of $2p_{3/2}$, $1f_{5/2}$ ve $2p_{1/2}$ valence orbits. The valence nucleons are distributed in these three orbits in order to minimize the energy for a determined spin. Because, the nucleons in the core with J=0 do not move from the core and the valence nucleons do not move into the core, we did not consider the nucleons in the closed-shells. In Figure 1, we have illustrates the model space and core for protons and neutrons used in this work. The Hamiltonian of the valence nucleons is given by the following equation,

$$H = E_0 + \Sigma \varepsilon_i + \Sigma < ab; JT | V | cd; JT >$$
(1)

where E_0 is the energy of the inert core, ε_i is singleparticle energies that the nucleons in the *i*th orbits interact with the core and the last term $\langle ab; JT/V/cd; JT \rangle$ is two-body matrix elements for the valence particles. Here, the nucleon pairs in a, b and c, d orbits are coupled to spin and isospin quantum numbers J and T. For two-body interaction, f5pvh [26] used interaction and jun45 [25] commonly Hamiltonians have been used separately in the calculations. Although the regions where the interactions are successful are explained in detail at the relevant papers, we have used these interactions for all N=Z nuclei in the pf-shell. The purpose is to see the success of the interactions for each nucleus and comparing the results with each other. The singleparticle energies for f5pvh interaction are -10.27 MeV, -9.42 MeV and -9.05 MeV for the $2p_{3/2}$, $1f_{5/2}$ ve $2p_{1/2}$ orbitals, respectively. The interaction Hamiltonian is defined by a set of 63 two-body matrix elements. For jun45 interaction, the single-particle energies are -9.83 MeV, -8.71MeV and -7.84 MeV for the 2p_{3/2}, 1f_{5/2} ve 2p_{1/2} orbitals. Although this interaction also includes the $g_{9/2}$ orbit above the $p_{1/2}$ we have not taken into account this orbit for the exact comparison with the former one. The full interaction file contains 334 two-body matrix elements. In the investigation of nuclear shapes, reduced electric quadrupole transition probability (B(E2)) and quadrupole deformation parameter (β_2) are the indicators. The β_2 showing the deformation of the nuclei can be calculated by Eq.2. The neutron and proton effective charges have been taken as en =0.5e and $e_p = 1.5e$, respectively.

$$\beta_2 = \frac{4\pi}{3ZR_0^2} \left[B(E2)/e^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2)



Figure 1. Single-particle levels of nucleons grouped to form model space above the ⁵⁶Ni core for the calculations

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Due to the fundamental information about the nuclear structure in even-even nuclei comes from the first two excited states. In Figure 2, we have shown the first 2⁺ and 4⁺ state energies from SM calculations for the investigated 60Zn, 64Ge, 68Se, 72Kr and 76Sr nuclei (N=Z) in comparison with the experimental data [27]. To analyze nuclear structure, these levels have to be known accurately. The first 2^+ energies of nuclei can be used as an indicator of collective behavior. Generally, light nuclei have higher energy values for the first 2^+ state. While the mass numbers of nuclei increase, the 2^+ energy values decrease. Until atomic number 34, this behavior is seen in this work. From our calculations, the results for 2⁺ energy values stay nearly constant after atomic number 34 for jun45 interaction and slightly increase for f5pvh interaction. For 60Zn isotope, the first 2⁺ excited level energies from different interactions are compatible with the experimental value. However, f5pvh gives closer value to the experimental value. For ⁶⁴Ge isotope, the result from f5pvh is still close to the experimental value, but jun45 gives results far from it. The result of the calculations made using the f5pvh interaction and the experimental value is very close to each other for the ⁶⁸Se isotope, whereas the calculated result by jun45 interaction is very far from them. For these three isotopes, theoretical results from the both interactions are lower than the experimental values. For 72 Kr isotope, the result is still lower than the experimental value for jun45 interaction, but f5pvh gives larger value. For the last investigated N=Z isotope 76 Sr, the first 2⁺ experimental energy value is very low and not certainly determined in the literature. This corresponds to the deformed shell gap at the nucleon number 38. The theoretical value from jun45 is closer to this low value. Besides, both theoretical results are seen as larger than the low experimental value.

In the figure, we have also shown the first 4⁺ excited state energies for the isotopes under investigation. For 60 Zn isotope, the result from *f5pvh* interaction is very close to the experimental value. For ⁶⁴Ge and ⁶⁸Se isotopes, f5pvh gives almost the same value as the experiment. After these isotopes, jun45 starts to give results closer to the experimental data. For ⁷²Kr isotope, jun45 value is very close to the experimental value. However, as in the 2^+ state, results from both theoretical calculations are not compatible with the experiment for ⁷⁶Sr. Again, the experimental value is ambiguous at this state for ⁷⁶Sr isotope. However, with the increasing number of valence nucleons, divergence from experimental results is inevitable. Therefore, the difference between the low energy 2^+ and 4^+ levels observed in the collective nuclei is the expected result.



Figure 2. The calculated first 2⁺ and 4⁺ excited state energies for ⁶⁰Zn, ⁶⁴Ge, ⁶⁸Se, ⁷²Kr, and ⁷⁶Sr isotopes in comparison with the experimental data [27].

We have also calculated the ratios of 4^+ to 2^+ energies $(R_{4/2})$ for the investigated nuclei (Figure 3). This ratio can take different value as < 2.0, -2.0-2.2, -2.7 and ~3.33 for non-collective, spherical-vibrator, structured nuclei. transitional and rigid-rotor respectively. For ⁶⁰Zn and ⁶⁴Ge, both theoretical with f5pvh interaction and experimental results are close to each other and they indicate that these nuclei are spherical vibrators whereas according to the calculations with *jun45*, these are transitional. For ⁶⁸Se, the theoretical result with jun45 interaction is closer to the experimental value which indicates this nucleus is rigid-rotor. For ⁷²Kr, both theoretical results are far from the experimental value. According to the experimental value, this nucleus can be a spherical vibrator but to the theoretical results, it is transitional. For ⁷⁶Sr, both theoretical with *jun45* interaction and experimental results are very close to each other. All the results indicate that this nucleus is transitional. Generally, by using jun45 interaction in the calculations, we have obtained slightly larger values than *f5pvh*.



Figure 3. R_{4/2} ratios for ⁶⁰Zn, ⁶⁴Ge, ⁶⁸Se, ⁷²Kr, and ⁷⁶Sr isotopes in comparison with the experimental data [27].

We have also calculated reduced electric transition probability from the ground state to the first 2^+ state B(E2) which is shown in Figure 4. Both theoretical results are in good agreement for ⁶⁴Ge and ⁶⁸Se with the available adopted values in the literature [28]. For ⁶⁰Zn, there is no adopted value in the literature. For ⁷²Kr and ⁷⁶Sr, the theoretical results stay nearly constant, but the adopted values start drastically to increase which shows an increase of collectivity. We have also given the B(E2) values from other theoretical models in the figure which are taken from Raman [29]. As is seen in the figure that only HFB+BCS results are in harmony with both SM calculations.



Figure 4. B(E2) values for ⁶⁰Zn, ⁶⁴Ge, ⁶⁸Se, ⁷²Kr, and ⁷⁶Sr isotopes.

Finally, in Figure 5, we have shown the quadrupole deformation parameters for the nuclei. The positive value of β_2 is related to prolate shape while the negative value of β_2 corresponds to the oblate shape of nuclei. As can be seen in the figure that all the nuclei under investigation have prolate shape. There is no adopted value for ⁶⁰Zn nuclei in the literature. According to the adopted values, ⁷²Kr and ⁷⁶Sr are very deformed nuclei, but for both theoretical calculations by *f5pvh* and *jun45* interactions, these are less deformed. Additionally, according to the common literature result [30], the ⁶⁸Se nucleus has an oblate shape.



Figure 5. Quadrupole deformation parameters (β_2) for 60 Zn, 64 Ge, 68 Se, 72 Kr and 76 Sr nuclei

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, nuclear structures of ⁶⁰Zn, ⁶⁴Ge, ⁶⁸Se, ⁷²Kr and 76 Sr medium mass N=Z nuclei have been investigated within the nuclear shell model by calculating first 2^+ and 4^+ energies, the ratios between these energies, reduced electric transition probabilities from the ground state to first 2⁺ state and quadrupole deformation parameters. KSHELL computer code was used for the calculations on these isotopes with two different two-body interaction Hamiltonians (f5pvh and jun45). The results have been compared with each other and the available literature data. For ⁶⁰Zn, ⁶⁴Ge, ⁶⁸Se nuclei, the results from theoretical calculations are in harmony with the literature data. However, with the increasing number of valence nucleons, divergence from experimental results is inevitable. Therefore, the divergence from experimental values for the low energy 2⁺ and 4⁺ levels observed in the collective nuclei is the expected result. *F5pvh* interaction generally gives closer results than jun45 interaction for these nuclei. Furthermore, the B(E2) values are theoretically calculated in this study whose data do not exist in the literature. In the future, it is planned to extend this work to the heavier N=Z nuclei (80Zr, 84Mo, 88Ru, 92Pd, 96Cd, and ¹⁰⁰Sn) in the same shell.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the scientific research project fund of Sivas Cumhuriyet University under project number F-616.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bohr, A. and Mottelson, B. R.: Nuclear Structure. (1969). Vol. 1. W.A. Benjamin, New York.
- [2] Greiner, W. and Maruhn J., (1996). Nuclear Models. Springer, Berlin.
- [3] Bayram, T. and Yılmaz, A. H., (2013). Table of Ground State Properties of Nuclei in the RMF Model. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 28(16), 1350068.
- [4] Bayram, T., Akkoyun, S. and Şentürk, Ş., (2018). Adjustment of Non-linear Interaction Parameters for Relativistic Mean Field Approach by Using Artificial Neural Networks. *Phys. At. Nucl.*, 81, 288-295.
- [5] Stoitsov, M. V., Dobaczewski, J., Nazarewicz, W., Pittel, S. and Dean, D. J., (2003). Systematic study of deformed nuclei at the drip lines and beyond. *Phys. Rev. C*, 68, 054312.
- [6] Jenkins, D.G., et al., (2002). T=0 and T=1 states in the odd-odd N=Z nucleus, ⁷⁰Br. *Phys. Rev. C*, 65, 064307.
- [7] Mayer, M. G., (1949). On closed shells in nuclei. II. *Phys. Rev.*, 75, 1969-1970.
- [8] Haxel, O., Jensen, J. H. D. and Suess, H. E., (1949). On the Magic Numbers in Nuclear Structure. *Phys. Rev.* 75(11), 1766.
- [9] Mayer, M. G., (1948). On Closed Shells in Nuclei. *Phys. Rev.*, 74, 235.

- [10] Mayer, M. G., (1950). Nuclear Configurations in the Spin-Orbit Coupling Model. I. Empirical Evidence. *Phys. Rev.*, 78, 16.
- [11] Talmi, I., (2005). 55 Years Of The Shell Model: A Challenge To Nuclear Many-Body Theory. Int. J. Mod. Phys. E, 14, 821-844.
- [12] Caurier, E., Martínez-Pinedo, G., Nowacki, F., Poves, A. and Zuker, A. P., (2005). The shell model as a unified view of nuclear structure. *Rev. Mod. Phy.*, 77(2), 427-488.
- [13] Brown, B. A., (2001). The Nuclear Shell Model toward the Drip Lines. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 47, 517-599.
- [14] Laouet, N., Benrachi, F., Guerraiche, H. and Benhizia, K, (2019). Study of the shell evolution effect on the nuclei around the ⁷⁸Ni core structure. *Bitlis Eren University Journal of Science and Technology*, 9, 109–113.
- [15] Shimizu, N., (2013). Nuclear shell-model code for massive parallel computation, KSHELL. arXiv:1310.5431 [nucl-th].
- [16] Brown, B. A. and Rae, W. D. M., (2014). The Shell-Model Code NuShellX@MSU. Nucl. Data Sheets. Vol. 120, pp. 115-118.
- [17] REDSTICK, http://www.phys.lsu.edu/faculty/cjohnson/redstick. html
- [18] Calvin, W. J., Erich Ormand, W, McElvain, K. S. and Shan, H., (2018). BIGSTICK: A flexible configuration-interaction shell-model code. *arXiv:1801.08432v1* [physics.comp-ph].
- [19] Caurier, E. and Nowacki, F., (1999). Present Status of Shell Model Techniques. *Acta Phys. Pol. B*, 30, 705-714.
- [20] Brown, B.A., et al., Oxbash for Windows. MSU_NSCL report number 1289.
- [21] Nabi, J.-U., Böyükata, M., (2016). β-Decay halflives and nuclear structure of exotic proton-rich

waiting point nuclei under rp-process. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 947,182–202.

- [22] Yamagami, M., Matsuyanagi, K., Matsuo, M., (2001). Symmetry-unrestricted Skyrme–Hartree– Fock–Bogoliubov calculations for exotic shapes in N=Z nuclei from ⁶⁴Ge to ⁸⁴Mo. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 693, 579–602.
- [23] Kaneko, K., Hasegawa, M. and Mizusaki, T., (2014). Shape transition and oblate-prolate coexistence in N=Z fpg-shell nuclei. *Phy. Rev. C*, 70, 051301.
- [24] Drumev, K., (2018). Shell-model calculations for upper pf-shell nuclei with an effective interaction. *EPJ Web of Conferences*, 194, 01006.
- [25] Honma, M, Otsuka, T., Mizusaki, T., and Jensen M. H-., (2009). New effective interaction for f5pg₉shell nuclei. *Phys. Rev. C*, 80, 064323.
- [26] Van Hienen, J.F.A., et al., (1976). Shell-Model Calculations For The Zinc Isotopes. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 269, 159-188.
- [27] Kinsey, R.R., et al., (1996). The NUDAT/PCNUDAT Program for Nuclear Data, paper submitted to the 9th International Symposium of Capture Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy and Related Topics, Budapest, Hungary, October 1996. Data extracted from the NUDAT database, 2.8 (01.April.2020).
- [28] Pritychenko, B., et al., (2016). Tables of E2 transition probabilities from the first 2+ states in even-even nuclei. *At. Data. Nucl. Data Tables*, 107, 1–139.
- [29] Raman, S., N, Nestor, JR C. W. and Tikkanen, P., (2001). Transition probability from the ground to the first-excited 2+ state of even–even nuclides. *At. Data. Nucl. Data Tables*, 78, 1–128.
- [30] Takami, S., Yabana, K. and Matsuo, M., (1998). Tetrahedral and triangular deformations of Z=N nuclei in mass region A~60–80. *Phys. Lett. B*, 431, 242-248.